arXiv:math/0402065v1 [math.RT] 4 Feb 2004

On Extensions of generalized Steinberg Representations

Sascha Orlik

Abstract

Let F be a local non-archimedean field and let G be the group of F-valued points of a reductive algebraic group over F. In this paper we compute the Ext-groups of generalized Steinberg representations in the category of smooth G-representations with coefficients in a certain self-injective ring.

1 Introduction

The origin of the problem we treat here is the computation of the étale cohomology of p-adic period domains with finite coefficients. In [O] the computation yields a filtration of smooth representations of a p-adic Lie group on the cohomology groups, which is induced by a certain spectral sequence. A natural problem which arises in this context is to show that this filtration splits canonically. The graded pieces of the filtration are essentially generalized Steinberg representations. A natural task is therefore to study the extensions of these representations.

Let F be a local non-archimedean field and let G be the group of F-valued points of a fixed reductive algebraic group over F. The field F induces a natural topology on G providing it with the structure of a locally profinite group. The aim of this paper is to determine the Ext-groups of generalized Steinberg representations in the category of smooth G-representations with coefficients in a self-injective ring R. We refer to the next chapter for the precise conditions we impose on R. An important example of such a ring is given by a field of characteristic zero. One crucial assumption is that the pro-order of G is invertible in R. In [V1] it is shown that this condition is sufficient for the existence of a (left-invariant) normalized Haar measure on G. Using this Haar measure and the self-injectivity of R ensures all the well-known properties and techniques in representation- and cohomology theory of a p-adic reductive group, e.g. Frobenius reciprocity, exactness of the fixed point functor for a compact open subgroup of G etc., as in the classical case where $R = \mathbb{C}$. In particular we have enough injective and projective objects in the category of smooth G-representations.

The generalized Steinberg representations are parametrized by the subsets of a relative $\mathbb{Q}_p$-root basis Δ of G. For any subset $I \subset \Delta$, let $P_I \subset G$ the corresponding standard-parabolic subgroup of G. Let $i^G_{P_I} = C^\infty(P_I \backslash G)$ be the G-representation consisting of locally constant functions on $P_I \backslash G$ with values in R. If $J \supset I$ is another subset, then there is a natural injection $i^G_{P_J} \hookrightarrow i^G_{P_I}$. The generalized Steinberg representation with respect to $I \subset \Delta$ is the quotient

$$v^G_{P_I} = i^G_{P_I} / \sum_{\substack{I \subset J \subset \Delta \\ I \neq J}} i^G_{P_J}.$$

In the case $I = \emptyset$ we just get the ordinary Steinberg representation. In the case $R = \mathbb{C}$ it is known that the representations $v^G_{P_J}$, for $J \supset I$, are precisely the irreducible subquotients of $i^G_{P_I}$. Our main result is formulated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let G be semi-simple. Let $I, J \subset \Delta$. Then*

$$Ext^i_G(v^G_{P_I}, v^G_{P_J}) = \begin{cases} R & : \quad i = |I \cup J| - |I \cap J| \\ 0 & : \quad otherwise \end{cases}.$$

Note that in the case where I or J is the empty set, i.e., $v^G_{P_I}$ or $v^G_{P_J}$ is the trivial representation and R is the field of complex numbers, this computation has been carried out by Casselman [Ca1], [Ca2] resp. Borel and Wallach [BW]. If on the other extreme $I = \Delta$ or $J = \Delta$, the Ext-groups have been computed by Schneider and Stuhler [SS].

If G is not necessarily semi-simple then we also have a contribution of the center $Z(G)$ of G. By using a Hochschild-Serre argument we conclude from Theorem 1:

Corollary 2 *Let G be reductive with center $Z(G)$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rank d. Let $I, J \subset \Delta$. Then we have*

$$Ext^i_G(v^G_{P_I}, v^G_{P_J}) = \begin{cases} R^{\binom{d}{j}} & : \quad i = |I \cup J| - |I \cap J| + j \\ 0 & : \quad otherwise \end{cases}$$

During my computations I was informed by J.-F. Dat that he was also able to prove Theorem 1. His proof [D] is totally different from ours. It is based on intertwining operators and Bernstein's second adjunction formula. In addition to the fact that R need not to be self-injective, his proof has the advantage of producing the extensions of generalized Steinberg representations explicitly.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is quite natural. One uses certain resolutions of the representations $v^G_{P_I}$ in terms of the induced representations $i^G_{P_K}$, where $K \supset I$. By a spectral sequence argument, the proof reduces to the computation of the groups $Ext^*_G(i^G_{P_I}, i^G_{P_J})$, for $I, J \subset \Delta$. This is done by Frobenius reciprocity and a description of the Jacquet modules for these kind of representations. The latter has been considered in [Ca3] in the case $R = \mathbb{C}$. It holds more generally in our situation.

I am grateful to J.-F. Dat for his numerous remarks on this paper. He explained to me how to genaralize my proof from the case $R = \mathbb{C}$ to the case of a certain self-injective ring. I would like to thank the IHES and J.-F. Dat for the invitation in June 2003. I wish to thank A. Huber and M. Rapoport for helpful remarks. I also thank T. Wedhorn and P. Schneider for their comments on a first version of this paper. Finally, I would like to thank C. Kaiser for pointing out to me Corollary 18 as a consequence of the results above.

2 Notations

Let p be a prime number and let F be a local non-archimedean field. We suppose that the residue field of F has order $q = p^r, r \geq 0$. Let $val : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the discrete valuation taking a fixed uniformizer $\varpi_F \in F$ to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $|\ \ |_{\mathbb{R}} : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the corresponding normalized p-adic norm with values in $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive algebraic group over F. Fix a maximal F-split torus $\mathbf{S}$ and a minimal F-parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ containing $\mathbf{S}$. Let $\mathbf{M} = Z(\mathbf{S})$ be the centralizer of $\mathbf{S}$ in $\mathbf{G}$, which is a Levi subgroup of $\mathbf{P}$. Denote by $\mathbf{U}$ the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}$. Let

$$\Phi \supset \Phi^+ \supset \Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$$

be the corresponding subsets of relative F-roots, F-positive roots, F-simple roots. In the following, we call them for simplicity just roots instead of relative F-roots. For a subset $I \subset \Delta$, we let $\mathbf{P}_I \subset \mathbf{G}$ be the standard parabolic subgroup defined over F such that $\Delta \setminus I$ are precisely the simple roots of the unipotent radical $\mathbf{U}_I$ of $\mathbf{P}_I$. Thus we have

$$\mathbf{P}_\Delta = \mathbf{G} \ \text{ and } \ \mathbf{P}_\emptyset = \mathbf{P}$$

as extreme cases. Moreover, we have for each subset $I \subset \Delta$ a unique Levi subgroup $\mathbf{M}_I$ of $\mathbf{P}_I$ which contains $\mathbf{M}$. Let

$$\Phi_I \supset \Phi_I^+ \supset I$$

be its set of roots, positive roots, simple roots with respect to $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{M_I} \cap \mathbf{P}$. We denote by

$$W = N(\mathbf{S})/Z(\mathbf{S})$$

the relative Weylgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. For any subset $I \subset \Delta$, let W_I be the parabolic subgroup of W which is generated by the reflections associated to I. It coincides with the Weylgroup of $\mathbf{M}_I$. Thus we have

$$W_\Delta = W \text{ and } W_\emptyset = \{1\}.$$

If $\mathbf{H}$ is any linear algebraic group defined over F, then we denote by $X^*(\mathbf{H})_F$ its group of F-rational characters.

Whereas we denote algebraic groups defined over F by boldface letters, we use ordinary letters for their groups

$$G := \mathbf{G}(F),\ P_I := \mathbf{P}_I(F),\ M_I := \mathbf{M}_I(F), \ldots$$

of F-valued points. We supply these groups with the canonical topology given by F. These are locally profinite topological groups. Let $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a Levi subgroup. Put

$${}^0M = \bigcap_{\alpha \in X^*(\mathbf{M})_F} kern|\alpha|_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

This is a normal open subgroup generated by all compact subgroups of M (cf. [BW] ch. X 2.2). Moreover, the quotient $M/{}^0M$ is a finitely generated free abelian group of rank equal to the F-rank of $Z(\mathbf{M})$. The valuation map gives rise to a natural homomorphism of groups

$$\Theta_M : X^*(\mathbf{M})_F \longrightarrow Hom(M/{}^0M, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{1}$$

defined by $\Theta(\chi) = val \circ \chi(F)$, where $\chi(F) : M \to F^\times$ is the induced homomorphism on F-valued points. It is easily seen that Θ_M is injective. Further the source and the target of Θ_M are both free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules of the same rank. Thus we may identify $X^*(\mathbf{M})_F$ as a lattice in $Hom(M/{}^0M, \mathbb{Z})$.

We fix a self-injective ring R, i.e., R is an injective object in the category Mod_R of R-modules. Let $i : \mathbb{Z} \to R$ be the canonical homomorphism. Then we have $ker(i) = d\mathbb{Z}$, for some integer $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We suppose that R fulfills the following assumptions.

1. The pro-order $|G|$ of G is invertible in R, i.e., $|G|$ is prime to d (see [V1] for the definition of the pro-order). In particular $i(q) \in R^\times$.
2. Let
$$\rho = \det Ad_{Lie(\mathbf{U})}|\mathbf{S} \in X^*(\mathbf{S})_F$$
be the character given by the determinant of the adjoint representation of $\mathbf{P}$ on $Lie(\mathbf{U})$ restricted to $\mathbf{S}$. Write ρ in the shape
$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} n_\alpha \alpha,$$
where $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Following the definition of an algebraically closed field which is *bon* for G (see [D]), we impose on R that d is prime to
$$\prod_{r \leq sup\{n_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta\}} (1 - q^r).$$
3. Let E/F be a finite Galois splitting field of $\mathbf{G}$. Then we further suppose that d is prime to the order of the Galois group $Gal(E/F)$, i.e, $i(|Gal(E/F)|) \in R^\times$.
4. Finally we assume that the monomorphism Θ_{M_I} becomes an isomorphism after base change to R for all $I \subset \Delta$.

Remarks: (1) Examples of such rings are given by fields of characteristic zero or by $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ suitable chosen.
(2) If R is an algebraically closed field, then condition 1 corresponds to the case *banal* in the sense of Vignéras (see [V1]).

Suppose for the moment that G is an arbitrary locally profinite group. We agree that all G-representations (sometimes we use the term G-module as well) in this paper are defined over R. Recall that a smooth G-representation is a representation V of G such that each $v \in V$ is fixed by a compact subgroup $K \subset G$. We denote the category of smooth representations by Mod_G. If V is a smooth G-module, then we let $\widetilde{V}$ be its smooth dual. Any closed subgroup H of G gives rise to functors
$$i_H^G,\ c\text{-}i_H^G : Mod_H \to Mod_G$$

called the (unnormalized) induction resp. induction with compact support. We recall their definitions. Let W be a smooth H-representation. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_H^G(W) &:= \Big\{f : G \to W;\ f(hg) = h \cdot f(g)\ \forall h \in H, g \in G, \exists \text{ compact} \\ &\qquad \text{open subgroup } K_f \subset G \text{ s.t. } f(gk) = f(g)\ \forall g \in G, k \in K_f\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

resp.

$$c\text{-}i_H^G(W) := \Big\{f \in i_H^G(W); \text{the support of } f \text{ is compact modulo } H\Big\}.$$

Note that we have

$$i_H^G = c\text{-}i_H^G,$$

if $H\backslash G$ is compact. If furthermore W is admissible, i.e., W^K is of finite type over R for all compact open subgroups $K \subset G$, then $i_H^G(W)$ is admissible as well (loc.cit., I, 5.6). Finally, we denote for any G-module V by V^G resp. V_G the invariants resp. the coinvariants of V with respect to G.

Next, we want to recall the definition of the generalized Steinberg representations. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be the trivial representation of any locally profinite group. For a subset $I \subset \Delta$, let

$$i_{P_I}^G := i_{P_I}^G(\mathbf{1}) = c\text{-}i_{P_I}^G(\mathbf{1}) = C^\infty(P_I\backslash G, R)$$

be the admissible representation of locally constant functions on $P_I\backslash G$ with values in R. If $\Delta \supset J \supset I$ is another subset, then there is an injection $i_{P_J}^G \hookrightarrow i_{P_I}^G$ which is induced by the natural surjection $P_I\backslash G \to P_J\backslash G$. The generalized Steinberg representation of G with respect to $I \subset \Delta$ is defined to be the quotient

$$v_{P_I}^G := i_{P_I}^G / \sum_{\substack{I \subset J \subset \Delta \\ J \neq I}} i_{P_J}^G.$$

In the case $R = \mathbb{C}$ it has been shown that the generalized Steinberg representations are irreducible and not pairwise isomorphic for different $I \subset \Delta$ (cf. [Ca2] Thm 1.1). This result has been generalized by J.-F. Dat [D] to the case of an algebraically closed field which is *bon* and *banal* for G.

We finish this section with introducing some more notations. We fix a normalized left-invariant R-valued Haar measure μ on G with respect to a maximal compact open subgroup of G. The existence of such a Haar measure is guaranteed by assumption (1) on R (see [V1] I, 2.4). Further, we denote by $|\ |: F \to R$ the 'norm' given by the composition of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & q^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ x & \mapsto & q^{-val(x)} \end{array}$$

together with the natural homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}] \to R$. Finally, if $\mathbf{H}$ is any linear algebraic group over F, then we put

$$X(\mathbf{H}) := X^*(\mathbf{H})_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R.$$

3 The computation

Let G be an arbitrary locally profinite group which satisfies assumption 1 on R. We want to recall that the category Mod_G of smooth G-representations has then enough injectives and projectives [V1]. This fact provides two different choices for the computation of the Ext-groups $Ext^*_G(V,W)$, for a given pair of smooth G-representations V, W. Notice that

$$H^i(G,V) = Ext^i_G(\mathbf{1},V)$$

is the i^{th} right derived functor of

$$\begin{array}{c} Mod_G \to Mod_R \\ V \mapsto V^G, \end{array}$$

whereas $H_i(G,V)$ denotes the i^{th} left derived functor of the right exact functor

$$\begin{array}{c} Mod_G \to Mod_R \\ V \mapsto V_G. \end{array}$$

Since R is self-injective, it is easy to see that there is an isomorphism

$$H_i(G,V)^\vee = Ext^i_G(V,\mathbf{1})$$

for all smooth G-representations V and for all $i \geq 0$. Here the symbol $^\vee$ indicates the R-dual space.

For our proof of Theorem 1, we need some statements on the cohomology of smooth representations of locally profinite groups with values in R. Up to Lemma 14 all the statements are well-known in the classical case, i.e., where $R = \mathbb{C}$. Their proofs in our situation are essentially the same. But for being on the safe side, we are going to reproduce the arguments shortly. Up to Lemma 7 - except of Lemma 4 - G is an arbitrary locally profinite group satisfying assumption 1 on R.

Lemma 3 *Let $K \subset G$ be an open compact subgroup. Then $i_K^G(\mathbf{1})$ is an injective object in Mod_G.*

Proof: By [V1] I, 4.10 we know that the trivial K-representation $\mathbf{1}$ is an injective object. Since the induction functor respects injectives (loc.cit. I, 5.9 (b)), we obtain the claim. $\square$

Let Y be the Bruhat-Tits building of $\mathbf{G}$ over F. We denote by $C^q(Y),\ q \in \mathbb{N}$, the space of q-cochains on Y with values in R. As in the classical case we have the following fact:

Lemma 4 *The natural chain complex*

$$0 \to R \to C^0(Y) \to C^1(Y) \to \ldots \to C^q(Y) \to \ldots.$$

is an injective resolution of the trivial G-representation $\mathbf{1}$ by smooth G-modules.

Proof: The proof coincides with the proof of [BW] ch. X 1.11 which uses Lemma 3 and the contractibility of the Bruhat-Tits building Y. $\square$

Our next lemma deals with the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. Let $N \subset G$ be a closed subgroup. As it has been pointed out by Casselman in [Ca2], the restriction functor from the category of smooth G-modules to that of N-modules does not preserve injective objects. For this reason, the standard arguments for proving the existence of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence - as in the case of cohomology theory of groups - breaks down. Nevertheless, the restriction functor preserves projective objects giving a homological variant of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (see appendix of [Ca2]).

Lemma 5 *Let $N \subset G$ be a closed normal subgroup of G. If V is a projective G-module, then V_N is a projective $G/N-$module. Thus we get for every pair of smooth G-modules V, W, such that N acts trivially on W, a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = Ext^q_{G/N}(H_p(N,V),W) \Rightarrow Ext^{p+q}_G(V,W).$$

If furthermore N resp. G/N is compact, then we have

$$Ext^q_{G/N}(V_N,W) = Ext^q_G(V,W)\ \forall q \in \mathbb{N},$$

resp.

$$Ext^0_{G/N}(H_p(N,V),W) = Ext^p_G(V,W)\ \forall p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof: The proof is the same as in the classical case [Ca2] A.9. It starts with the observation that the coinvariant functor is left adjoint to the trivial (exact) functor viewing a smooth G/N-module as a smooth G-module. Therefore, V_N is a projective G/N-module, if V is projective. By [V1] I, 5.10 we know that the restriction functor preserves projectives. Using the standard-arguments applied to the Grothendieck spectral sequence, we obtain the first part of the claim. The reason for the second part is the exactness of the coinvariant resp. fixed-point functor for a compact subgroup [V1] I, 4.6. $\square$

Lemma 6 *Let V and W be smooth representations of G. Suppose that W is admissible. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$Ext^i_G(V,W) \cong Ext^i_G(\widetilde{W},\widetilde{V}),\ \forall i \geq 0.$$

Proof: Let

$$0 \leftarrow V \leftarrow P^0 \leftarrow P^1 \leftarrow \cdots$$

be a projective resolution of V. Since R is self-injective, we conclude as in [V1] I, 4.18 that the functor $W \mapsto \widetilde{W}$ from the category of smooth G-representations to itself is exact. By [V1] I, 4.13 (2) we see that the modules $\widetilde{P^j}, j \geq 0$, are injective objects in Mod_G. Hence, we obtain an injective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{V} \rightarrow \widetilde{P}^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{P}^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of $\widetilde{V}$. Moreover, we know by [V1] I, 4.13 (1) that

$$Hom_G(V,\widetilde{W}) = Hom_G(W,\widetilde{V}),$$

for any pair of smooth G-modules V, W. Since W is admissible, we have $W = \widetilde{\widetilde{W}}$ (see [V1] 4.18 (iii)) and the claim follows. $\square$

In the special case $W = \mathbf{1}$ we obtain:

Corollary 7 *Let V be a smooth representation of G. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$H^i(G,\widetilde{V}) \cong H_i(G,V)^\vee,\ \forall i \geq 0.$$

From now on, we suppose again that G is the set of F-valued points of some reductive algebraic group defined over F.

Lemma 8 *Let* $Q \subset G$ *be a parabolic subgroup with Levi decomposition* $Q = M \cdot N$. *Let* V *resp.* W *be a smooth representation of* G *resp.* M. *Extend* W *trivially to a representation of* Q. *Then we have for all* $i \geq 0$ *isomorphisms*

$$Ext_G^i(V, i_Q^G(W)) \cong Ext_M^i(V_N, W).$$

Proof: By Frobenius reciprocity [V1] I, 5.10 we deduce that

$$Ext_G^*(V, i_Q^G(W)) = Ext_Q^*(V, W).$$

Since N is a union of open compact subgroups, we deduce from [V1] I, 4.10 the exactness of the functor

$$Mod_G \to Mod_R$$

$$W \mapsto W_N.$$

Thus the statement follows from Lemma 5. □

After having established the main techniques for computing cohomology of representations, we are able to take the first step in order to proof Theorem 1. The following proposition is also well-known in the classical case.

Proposition 9 *We have*

$$H^*(G, \mathbf{1}) = \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{G}),$$

where $\Lambda^* X(\mathbf{G})$ *denotes the exterior algebra of* $X(\mathbf{G})$.

Proof: We copy the proof of the classical case [BW] Prop. 2.6, ch. X.

1^{st} case: $\mathbf{G}$ is semi-simple and simply connected. Then we apply the G-fixed point functor to the resolution of the trivial representation in Lemma 4. The result is a constant coefficient system on a base chamber inside the Bruhat-Tits building, which is contractible. Thus, we obtain $H^*(G, \mathbf{1}) = H^0(G, \mathbf{1}) = R$.

2^{nd} case: $\mathbf{G}$ is semi-simple. Then we consider its simply connected covering $\mathbf{G}' \to \mathbf{G}$. The induced homomorphism $G' \to G$ has finite kernel, its image is a closed cocompact normal subgroup. We apply Lemma 5 to G', $\sigma(G')$ and $N := ker(G' \to G)$.

3^{rd} case: $\mathbf{G}$ is arbitrary reductive. Let $D\mathbf{G}$ be the derived group of $\mathbf{G}$ and put $G' = D\mathbf{G}(F)$. Then we have $G \supset {}^0G \supset DG'$. Moreover, the quotient

${}^0G/DG'$ is compact, where DG' denotes the derived group of G'. Therefore, we conclude by the previous case, Lemma 5 and Corollary 7 that

$$H^*({}^0G,\mathbf{1}) = H^*(DG',\mathbf{1}) = H^0(DG',\mathbf{1}) = R.$$

With the same arguments, we see that

$$H^*(G,\mathbf{1}) = H^*(G/{}^0G,\mathbf{1}).$$

Now it is known that the cohomology of a finite rank free commutative (discrete) group L coincides with the cohomology of the corresponding torus:

$$H^*(L,\mathbf{1}) = \Lambda^*(Hom(L,\mathbb{Z})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R.$$

Applying this fact to $G/{}^0G$, we get

$$H^*(G,\mathbf{1}) = \Lambda^*(Hom(G/{}^0G,\mathbb{Z})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R.$$

By assumption 4 on R we have $Hom(G/{}^0G,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \cong X(\mathbf{G})$ from which the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 10 *Let $I \subset \Delta$. Then we have*

$$H^*(G, i^G_{P_I}) = H^*(P_I,\mathbf{1}) = H^*(M_I,\mathbf{1}) = \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_I).$$

Proof: The statement follows from Lemma 8, Proposition 9 and by our assumption 4 on R. $\square$

In order to compute the cohomology of generalized Steinberg representations, we need the following proposition. For two subsets $I \subset I' \subset \Delta$ with $|I' \setminus I| = 1$, we let

$$p_{I,I'} : i^G_{P_{I'}} \longrightarrow i^G_{P_I}$$

be the natural homomorphism induced by the surjection $G/P_I \to G/P_{I'}$. For arbitrary subsets $I, I' \subset \Delta$, with $|I'| - |I| = 1$ and $I' = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r\}$, we put

$$d_{I,I'} = \begin{cases} (-1)^i p_{I,I'} & I' = I \cup \{\beta_i\} \\ 0 & I \not\subset I' \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 11 *Let $I \subset \Delta$. The complex*

$$0 \to i^G_G \to \bigoplus_{\substack{I\subset K\subset\Delta \\ |\Delta\setminus K|=1}} i^G_{P_K} \to \bigoplus_{\substack{I\subset K\subset\Delta \\ |\Delta\setminus K|=2}} i^G_{P_K} \to \ldots \to \bigoplus_{\substack{I\subset K\subset\Delta \\ |K\setminus I|=1}} i^G_{P_K} \to i^G_{P_I} \to v^G_{P_I} \to 0,$$

with differentials induced by the $d_{J,J'}$ above is acyclic.

Proof: See Prop. 13, §6 of [SS] for the case of $I = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_i\}$, $i \geq 1$, and $G = GL_n$. The proof there is only formulated for coefficients in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$. However, the proof holds for arbitrary rings, since it is of combinatorial nature.
A different approach consists of using Proposition 6 of §2 in [SS]. It says: Let $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ be a family of subgroups in some bigger group G. Suppose that the following identities are satisfied for all subsets $A, B \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$:

$$(\sum_{i \in A} G_i) \cap (\bigcap_{j \in B} G_j) = \sum_{i \in A} (G_i \cap (\bigcap_{j \in B} G_j)).$$

Then the natural (oriented) complex

$$G \leftarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} G_i \leftarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i<j}}^{m} G_i \cap G_j \leftarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{i,j,k=1 \\ i<j<k}}^{m} G_i \cap G_j \cap G_k \leftarrow \cdots$$

is an acyclic resolution of $\sum_i G_i \subset G$. We apply this proposition to the G-modules $i_{P_K}^G$, where $I \subset K \subset \Delta$ and $|\Delta \setminus K| = 1$. The condition of the proposition is fulfilled. Indeed, we have

$$i_{P_I}^G \ \cap \ i_{P_J}^G = i_{P_{I \cup J}}^G$$

and

$$i_{P_I}^G \ \cap \ (i_{P_J}^G + i_{P_K}^G) = (i_{P_I}^G \cap i_{P_J}^G) \ + \ (i_{P_I}^G \cap i_{P_K}^G),$$

for all subsets $I, J, K \subset \Delta$. The first identity follows from the fact that $P_{I \cup J}$ is the parabolic subgroup generated by P_I and P_J. For the second one confer [BW] 4.5, 4.6 resp. [L] 8.1, 8.1.4 (The statement there is formulated in the case where $R = \mathbb{C}$. The result holds also in our general situation. The proof relies on the exactness of the Jacquet-functor and a description of the S-modules $(i_{P_I}^G)_U$ using the filtration in the proof of Proposition 15). $\square$

Theorem 12 *Let G be semi-simple and let $I \subset \Delta$. Then we have*

$$H^i(G, v_{P_I}^G) = \begin{cases} R & : \quad i = |\Delta \setminus I| \\ 0 & : \quad \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof: The proof is the same as in Prop. 4.7, ch. X of [BW]. A not very different approach works as follows. Apply the cohomology functor $H^*(G, -)$ to the acyclic complex of Proposition 11. We obtain a complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset K \subset \Delta \\ |\Delta \setminus K| = 1}} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_K) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset K \subset \Delta \\ |K \setminus I| = 1}} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_K) \rightarrow \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_I) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathbf{G}$ is simply connected. Suppose that $\mathbf{G}$ is split. In this case it is well-known (cf. [J] ch II, 1.18) that $X^*(\mathbf{M}_K)_F$ may be identified with the submodule of $X^*(\mathbf{S})_F$ defined by

$$\{\chi \in X^*(\mathbf{S})_F;\ \langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle\ = 0\ \forall \alpha \in K\},$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : X^*(\mathbf{S})_F \times X_*(\mathbf{S})_F \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the natural pairing. If we denote by $\{\omega_\alpha \in X^*(\mathbf{S})_F;\ \alpha \in \Delta\}$ the fundamental weights of $\mathbf{G}$ with respect to $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{P}$, then we get

$$X(\mathbf{M}_K) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus K} R \cdot \omega_\alpha \subset X(\mathbf{S}).$$

Thus we see - again by using Prop. 6, §2 of [SS] - that the complex above is acyclic with respect to Λ^r for

$$r < rk(Z(\mathbf{M}_I)) = |\Delta \setminus I|.$$

In the case $rk(Z(\mathbf{M}_I)) = r$ all the entries of the complex vanish except of $\Lambda^r X(\mathbf{M}_I) = R$.
In the general case, let E/F be our fixed Galois splitting field of $\mathbf{G}$. Then we deduce with the same arguments that the corresponding complex of E-rational characters has the desired property. Applying the $Gal(E/F)$-fixed point functor to this complex yields the claim. Note that the fixed point functor is exact by assumption 3 on R. □

For attacking Theorem 1 we still need two lemmas.

Lemma 13 *Let V be a smooth representation of G. Suppose that there exists an element $z \in Z(G)$ in the center of G and an element $c \in R$, such that $c - 1 \in R^\times$ and $z \cdot v = c \cdot v$ for all $v \in V$. Then we have*

$$H^*(G, V) = 0.$$

Proof: See Prop. 4.2, ch. X [BW] for the classical case. We repeat shortly the argument. By identifying Ext-groups with the Yoneda-Ext-groups, we have to show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all n-extensions of $\mathbf{1}$ by V are trivial. More generally, we will show that if U is a R-module with trivial G-action, then there are no non-trivial extensions of U by V. In fact, let

$$E^\bullet : 0 \to V \to E^1 \to E^2 \to \cdots \to E^n \to U \to 0$$

be an arbitrary $n-$extension. Since z lies in the center of G, it defines an endomorphism of $E^\bullet$ and we get the identity $E^\bullet = c.E^\bullet$. Here $c.E^\bullet$ denotes the scalar multiplication of R on the module $Ext^n_G(U,V)$ (confer [M] ch. III, Theorem 2.1). Thus, we have $0 = E^\bullet - c.E^\bullet = (1-c).E^\bullet$. Since $1-c \in R^\times$, we conclude that $E^\bullet = 0 \in Ext^n_G(U,V)$. $\square$

Lemma 14 *Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup and let W be a smooth representation of H. Then we have*

$$\widetilde{c - i^G_H(W)} \cong i^G_H(\widetilde{W}\delta_H),$$

where δ_H is the modulus character of H.

Proof: This follows from [V1] I, 5.11 together with the fact that G is unimodular. $\square$

Proposition 15 *Let G be semi-simple and let $I, J \subset \Delta$. Then we have*

$$Ext^*_G(i^G_{P_I}, i^G_{P_J}) = \begin{cases} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J) & : \quad \textit{if } J \subset I \\ 0 & : \quad \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof: By Lemma 8 we have for all $i \geq 0$ isomorphisms

$$Ext^i_G(i^G_{P_I}, i^G_{P_J}) \cong Ext^i_{M_J}((i^G_{P_I})_{U_J}, \mathbf{1}),$$

where $(i^G_{P_I})_{U_J}$ is the Jacquet-module of $i^G_{P_I}$ with respect to M_J. In the case $R = \mathbb{C}$ there is constructed in [Ca3] 6.3 - a substitute for the Mackey formula - a decreasing $\mathbb{N}$-filtration $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ of smooth P_J-submodules on $i^G_{P_I}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^i = \{f \in i^G_{P_I}\ ;\ supp(f) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{w \in W_I \backslash W / W_J \\ l(w) \geq i}} P_I \backslash P_I w P_J\},\ i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here the length $l(w)$ of a double coset $w \in W_I \backslash W / W_J$ is the length of its Kostant-representative which is the one of minimal length within its double coset. In the following we will identify the double cosets with its Kostant-representatives. There are a canonical isomorphisms

$$gr^i_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}(i^G_{P_I}) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_I \backslash W / W_J \\ l(w) = i}} c - i^{P_J}_{P_J \cap w^{-1} P_I w},$$

for all $i \geq 0$. Furthermore, we have for every $w \in W_I \backslash W/W_J$ an isomorphism

$$(c\text{-}i^{P_J}_{P_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w})_{U_J} \cong c\text{-}i^{M_J}_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}(\gamma_w),$$

where γ_w is the modulus character of $P_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w$ acting on $U_J/U_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w$. The first isomorphism is a corollary of Prop. 6.3.1 (loc.cit.) (see also [V1] I, 1.7 (iii)), whereas the second one is the content of Prop. 6.3.3 (loc.cit.). In the general case, i.e., for our specified ring R, the same formulas hold, since the proof can be taken over word by word. Since $M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w$ is a parabolic subgroup in M_J, we observe that $c\text{-}i^{M_J}_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}(\gamma_w) = i^{M_J}_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}(\gamma_w)$. From the definition we see that γ_w is the norm of the rational character

$$\det Ad_{Lie(\mathbf{U_J})} / \det Ad_{w^{-1}Lie(\mathbf{P_I})w \cap Lie(\mathbf{U_J})} \in X^*(\mathbf{P_J} \cap w^{-1}\mathbf{P_I}w).$$

Its restriction to S is given by

$$\gamma_{w|S} = |\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \backslash \Phi^+_J \\ w\alpha \in \Phi^- \backslash \Phi^-_I}} \alpha|. \tag{2}$$

Fix an element $w \in W_I \backslash W/W_J$. We are going to show that

$$Ext^*_{M_J}(i^{M_J}_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}(\gamma_w), \mathbf{1}) = 0,$$

unless $w = 1$ and $J \subset I$. Since the Jacquet-functor is exact, this will give by successive application of the long exact cohomology sequence with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ the statement of our proposition. By Lemma 6 and Lemma 14 we conclude that

$$Ext^*_{M_J}(c\text{-}i^{M_J}_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}(\gamma_w), \mathbf{1}) \cong Ext^*_{M_J}(\mathbf{1}, i^{M_J}_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}(\tilde{\gamma}_w \delta_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w})),$$

where $\delta_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}$ is the modulus character of the parabolic subgroup $M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w$ of M_J and $\tilde{\gamma}_w$ is the smooth dual of γ_w. The Levi decomposition of the latter group is given by

$$M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w = M_{J \cap w^{-1}I} \cdot (M_J \cap w^{-1}U_I w)$$

(see [C] Prop. 2.8.9.). So, the restriction of $\delta_{M_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w}$ to S is the norm of the rational character

$$\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi^+_J \\ w\alpha \in \Phi^+ \backslash \Phi^+_I}} \alpha,$$

i.e.,

$$\delta_{M_J\cap w^{-1}P_I w|S} = |\prod_{\substack{\alpha\in\Phi_J^+ \\ w\alpha\in\Phi^+\setminus\Phi_I^+}} \alpha|. \tag{3}$$

In the case where $J \not\subset I$ or $w \neq 1$ we deduce from the following lemma the existence of an element z in the center of $M_{J\cap w^{-1}I}$, such that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_w(z)\delta_{M_J\cap w^{-1}P_I w}(z) - 1 \in R^\times.$$

By Lemma 13 we conclude that

$$Ext^*_{M_J}(c\text{-}i^{M_J}_{M_J\cap w^{-1}P_I w}(\gamma_w), \mathbf{1}) = 0.$$

In the case $J \subset I$ we obtain therefore an isomorphism

$$Ext^*_G(i^G_{P_I}, i^G_{P_J}) \cong Ext^*_{M_J}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) = \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J)$$

which is induced by the element $w = 1$. □

Lemma 16 *Let $J \not\subset I$ or $w \neq 1$. Then there exists an element $z \in Z(M_{J\cap w^{-1}I})$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_w(z)\delta_{M_J\cap w^{-1}P_I w}(z) - 1 \in R^\times$.*

Proof: 1^{st} case: Let $w \neq 1$. Then we have $\gamma_w \neq \mathbf{1}$. In fact, $\gamma_w = 1$ would imply that

$$Lie(U_J) \subset Lie(w^{-1}P_I w)$$

or equivalently $U_J \subset w^{-1}P_I w$. But in general one has

$$P_{J\cap w^{-1}I} = (P_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w)\cdot U_J$$

([C], Prop. 2.8.4). Thus, we deduce that the intersection $P_J \cap w^{-1}P_I w$ is a parabolic subgroup. This is only true if $w = 1$.
We want to recall that for any subset $K \subset \Delta$ the maximal split torus in the center $Z(M_K)$ of M_K coincides with the connected component of the identity in $\bigcap_{\alpha\in K} kern(\alpha) \subset S$. Since the center of M_J is contained in $M_{J\cap w^{-1}I}$, it is enough to construct an element $z \in Z(M_J)$ which has the desired property. From the representation (2) we may easily conclude the existence of an element $z \in Z(M_J)$ with $\tilde{\gamma}_w(z) \neq 1$. Our purpose is to show the existence of an element $z \in Z(M_J)$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_w(z) - 1 \in R^\times$. We may suppose that $\mathbf{G}$ is adjoint. Let

$$\{\omega_\alpha \in X_*(S);\ \alpha\in\Delta\}$$

be the dual base (co-fundamental weights) of Δ, i.e., $\langle \omega_\beta, \alpha \rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$. Since $\gamma_w \neq \mathbf{1}$ it is possible to find a root $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus J$ such that $w\alpha \in \Phi^- \setminus \Phi_I^-$. Put

$$z := \omega_\alpha(\varpi_F^{-1}).$$

Then we have $z \in Z(M_J)$ and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_w(z) - 1 = q^r - 1$$

for some $1 \leq r \leq n_\alpha$. By assumption 2 on R we know that the product $\prod_{r \leq sup\{n_\alpha;\, \alpha \in \Delta\}} (1 - q^r)$ is invertible in R. Further we see from the expression (3) that $\delta_{M_J \cap w^{-1} P_I w}(z) = 1$. This gives the proof in the first case.

2^{nd} case: Let $w = 1$ and $J \not\subset I$. Then we have $\gamma_w = 1$. Since $J \not\subset I$, we see that the restriction of $\delta_{M_J \cap P_I}$ to $Z(M_{J \cap I})$ is not trivial. Again, we can find as in the first case an element $z \in Z(M_{J \cap I})$ such that $\delta_{M_J \cap P_I}(z) - 1 \in R^\times$. $\square$

Proposition 17 *Let G be semi-simple and let $I, J \subset \Delta$. Then we have*

$$Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_{P_J}^G) = \begin{cases} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J)[-|\Delta \setminus I|] & : \quad \Delta = I \cup J \\ 0 & : \quad otherwise \end{cases}$$

Proof: We apply the acyclic complex of Proposition 11 to the representation $v_{P_I}^G$. This yields a double complex

$$0 \to Ext_G^*(i_{P_I}^G, i_{P_J}^G) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset L \subset \Delta \\ |L \setminus I| = 1}} Ext_G^*(i_{P_L}^G, i_{P_J}^G) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset L \subset \Delta \\ |L \setminus I| = 2}} Ext_G^*(i_{P_L}^G, i_{P_J}^G) \to \ldots$$

$$\ldots \to \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset L \subset \Delta \\ |\Delta \setminus L| = 1}} Ext_G^*(i_{P_L}^G, i_{P_J}^G) \to Ext_G^*(i_G^G, i_{P_J}^G) \to 0,$$

such that its associated spectral sequence converges to $Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_{P_J}^G)$. By Proposition 15 we see that $K := I \cup J$ is the minimal subset of Δ containing I with $Ext_G^*(i_{P_K}^G, i_{P_J}^G) \neq 0$. Hence, the double complex reduces to the double-complex

$$0 \to \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{K \subset L \subset \Delta \\ |L \setminus K| = 1}} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{K \subset L \subset \Delta \\ |L \setminus K| = 2}} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J) \to \ldots$$

$$\ldots \to \bigoplus_{\substack{K \subset L \subset \Delta \\ |\Delta \setminus L| = 1}} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J) \to \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_J) \to 0.$$

In the case of $K = \Delta$ we are obviously done. In the case $K \neq \Delta$ we see that the cohomology of the double complex vanishes, since it is a constant coefficient system on the standard simplex corresponding to the set K. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1: This time we apply Proposition 11 to $v_{P_J}^G$. This yields a double complex

$$0 \to Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_G^G) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{J\subset L\subset\Delta \\ |\Delta\setminus L|=1}} Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_{P_L}^G) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{J\subset L\subset\Delta \\ |\Delta\setminus L|=2}} Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_{P_L}^G) \to \ldots$$

$$\ldots \to \bigoplus_{\substack{J\subset L\subset\Delta \\ |L\setminus J|=1}} Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_{P_L}^G) \to Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_{P_J}^G) \to 0,$$

such that its associated spectral sequence converges to $Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, v_{P_J}^G)$. By Proposition 17 we conclude that the minimal subset K of Δ containing J and such that $Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, i_{P_K}^G) \neq 0$ is

$$K = (\Delta \setminus I) \cup J = (\Delta \setminus I) \mathbin{\dot\cup} (I \cap J).$$

Thus the complex above reduces to

$$0 \to \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{G})[-|\Delta \setminus I|] \to \bigoplus_{\substack{K\subset L\subset\Delta \\ |\Delta\setminus L|=1}} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_L)[-|\Delta \setminus I|] \to \ldots$$

$$\ldots \to \bigoplus_{\substack{K\subset L\subset\Delta \\ |L\setminus K|=1}} \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_L)[-|\Delta \setminus I|] \to \Lambda^* X(\mathbf{M}_K)[-|\Delta \setminus I|] \to 0.$$

This double-complex is precisely - up to shifts - the double-complex for the computation of the cohomology of $v_{P_K}^G$, for a semi-simple group G (cf. Theorem 12 resp. [BW] ch. X, Prop. 4.7) ! Thus, we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^*(G, v_{P_K}^G)[-(|J| - |K|) - |\Delta \setminus I|] \cong Ext_G^*(v_{P_I}^G, v_{P_J}^G).$$

It remains to compute the degree d, where the latter space does not vanish. The degree is by Theorem 12 equal to

$$\begin{aligned} d &= |\Delta \setminus K| + |\Delta \setminus I| + |J| - |K| \\ &= |\Delta \setminus (\Delta \setminus I \mathbin{\dot\cup} (I \cap J))| + |\Delta \setminus I| + |J| - |\Delta \setminus I \mathbin{\dot\cup} (I \cap J))| \\ &= |I \cap \Delta \setminus (I \cap J))| + |J| - |I \cap J| \\ &= |I \setminus (I \cap J))| + |J| - |I \cap J| \\ &= |I| - |I \cap J| + |J| - |I \cap J| \\ &= |I \cup J| - |I \cap J|. \end{aligned}$$ $\square$

Remark: An argument of J.-F. Dat shows that Theorem 1 even holds if R is not self-injective. In fact, in his paper [D] Theorem 4.4 he first shows the statement for an algebraically closed field which is *bon* and *banal* for G. Then he uses this result to deduce the general case by elementary commutative algebra.

Proof of Corollary 2 : Consider the projection $G \to G/Z(G)$ onto the adjoint group of G. The action of $Z(G)$ on $v_{P_I}^G$ and $v_{P_J}^G$ is trivial. By applying Lemma 5 to this situation we get a spectral sequence

$$Ext^*_{G/Z(G)}(H_*(Z(G), v_{P_I}^G), v_{P_J}^G) \Rightarrow Ext^*_G(v_{P_I}^G, v_{P_J}^G).$$

By the proof of Proposition 9 we deduce that

$$H^*(Z(G), \mathbf{1}) = \Lambda^* Hom(Z(G)/{}^0Z(G), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes R \cong \Lambda^* R^d.$$

Therefore, we get

$$H^*(Z(G), v_{P_I}^G) = H^*(Z(G), \mathbf{1}) \otimes v_{P_I}^G \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{d} (v_{P_I}^G)^{\binom{d}{j}}.$$

Now we apply Theorem 1 together with Corollary 7. □

In the remainder of this paper we give another corollary in the case of the general linear group and $R = \mathbb{C}$. This corollary has been pointed out to me by C. Kaiser.

Let $G = GL_n$ with $n = r \cdot k$ for some integers $k, r > 0$. Let $P_{r,k}$ be the upper block parabolic subgroup containing the Levi subgroup

$$\underbrace{GL_r \times \cdots \times GL_r}_{k}.$$

Let σ be an irreducible cuspidal representation of GL_r. For any integer $i \geq 0$ we put $\sigma(i) = \sigma \otimes |\det|^i$, where $\det : GL_r \to F^\times$ is the determinant. Consider the graph Γ consisting of the vertices $\{\sigma, \sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(k-1)\}$ and the edges $\{\{\sigma(i), \sigma(i+1)\}; i = 0, \ldots, k-2\}$. Thus we can illustrate Γ in the shape

$$\sigma - \sigma(1) - \cdots - \sigma(k-1).$$

An orientation of Γ is given by choosing a direction on each edge. Denote by $Or(\Gamma)$ the set of orientations on Γ.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the set of irreducible subquotients of $\tilde{i}^G_{P_{r,k}}(\sigma\otimes\sigma(1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\sigma(k-1))$, where $\tilde{i}^G_{P_{r,k}}$ denotes the normalized induction functor. Following [Z] 2.2, there is a bijection

$$\omega : Or(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{J},$$

which we briefly describe. Let S_k be the symmetric group in the set $\{0,\dots,k-1\}$. Consider the map

$$S_k \to Or(\Gamma)$$
$$w \mapsto \Gamma(w)$$

defined as follows. The edge $\{\sigma(i),\sigma(i+1)\}$ is oriented from $\sigma(i)$ to $\sigma(i+1)$ - symbolized as $\sigma(i)\to\sigma(i+1)$ - if and only if $w(i) < w(i+1)$. On easily verifies the surjectivity of this map. Let $\vec{\Gamma}$ be an orientation of Γ. Choose an element $w \in S_k$ such that $\vec{\Gamma} = \Gamma(w)$. Then $\omega(\vec{\Gamma})$ is defined to be the unique irreducible quotient of

$$\tilde{i}^G_{P_{r,k}}(\sigma(w(0))\otimes\cdots\otimes\sigma(w(k-1))).$$

In loc.cit. 2.7 it is shown that this representation does not depend on the chosen representative w.

Denote by $\Delta_k = \{\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_{k-2}\}$ the set of simple roots of GL_k with respect to the standard root system of GL_k. Let $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_k)$ be its power set. For a subset $I \subset \Delta_k$, we let $\Theta(I) \in Or(\Gamma)$ be the orientation of Γ defined by $\sigma(i)\to\sigma(i+1)$ if and only if $\alpha_i \in I, i = 0,\dots,k-2$. It is easily seen that we get in this way a bijection

$$\Theta : \mathcal{P}(\Delta_k) \to Or(\Gamma).$$

For any subset $I \subset \Delta_k$, we put

$$v^G_I(\sigma) := \omega(\Theta(I)).$$

Example: Consider the special case $r = 1$ and $\sigma = |\ |^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$. Then we have $P_{r,k} = P$,

$$\tilde{i}^G_P(\sigma\otimes\cdots\otimes\sigma(n-1)) = i^G_P$$

and

$$v^G_I(\sigma) = v^G_{P_I},$$

for all $I \subset \Delta = \Delta_k$.

Corollary 18 *Let $I, J \subset \Delta_k$. Set $i := |I\cup J| - |I\cap J|$. Then we have*

$$Ext^*_G(v^G_I(\sigma), v^G_J(\sigma)) = R[-i]\oplus R[-i-1].$$

Proof: We make use of the theory of types of Bushnell and Kutzko [BK] (see also [V2]). Let (K, λ) be the type of the block containing $v_\emptyset^G(\sigma)$. By definition K is a compact open subgroup of G and λ is an irreducible representation of K, such that the functor

$$V \mapsto Hom_G(c - i_K^G(\lambda), V)$$

is an equivalence of categories from the block above to the category of right $End_G(i_K^G(\lambda))$-modules. There exists an unramified extension F'/F, such that the following holds ([BK],[V2]). Set $G' = GL_k(F')$ and let $I' \subset G'$ be the standard Iwahori subgroup. Then there is an algebra isomorphism [BK] 7.6.19

$$End_{G'}(i_{I'}^G(\mathbf{1})) \to End_G(i_K^G(\lambda)).$$

This isomorphism induces an equivalence between the block of unipotent G'-representations and the block of G-representations containing $v_\emptyset^G(\sigma)$. Under this equivalence, the representations $v_I^G(\sigma)$ and $v_{P_I}^G$ correspond to each other. This can be seen from the fact that the equivalence is compatible with normalized induction [BK] 7.6.21 and with twists [BK] 7.5.12. Thus, the statement follows from Corollary 2. □

References

[BK] C.J. Bushnell, P.C. Kutzko, *The admissible dual of* GL(N) *via compact open subgroups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 129. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1993).

[BW] A. Borel, N. Wallach, *Continuous Cohomology, Discrete Subgroups, and Representations of Reductive Groups*, Second Edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. **67**, American Mathematical Society (2000).

[Ca1] W. Casselman, *On a p-adic vanishing theorem of Garland*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80**, 1001-1004 (1974).

[Ca2] W. Casselman, *Non-unitary argument for p-adic representations*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., **28**, 907-928 (1981).

[Ca3] W. Casselman, *Introduction to the Theory of admissible representations and p-adic reductive groups*, preprint (1995).

[C] R.W. Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons (1993).

[D] J.-F. Dat, *Calcul de Ext*, preprint 2003.

[J] J.-C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 131. Boston etc.: Academic Press, Inc. (1987).

[L] G.I. Laumon, *Cohomology of Drinfeld Modular Varieties, Part I: Geometry, counting of points and local harmonic analysis*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **41**, Cambridge University Press (1996).

[M] S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Grund. Math. Wiss., **114**, Springer (1963).

[O] S. Orlik, *The cohomology of period domains for reductive groups over local fields*, preprint (2002).

[SS] P. Schneider, U. Stuhler, *The cohomology of p-adic symmetric spaces*, Invent. Math. **105**, 47-122 (1991).

[V1] M.- F. Vignéras, Representations l-modulaires d'un groupe réductif p-adique avec $l \neq p$, Progress in Mathematics **137** , Birkhäuser (1996).

[V2] M.- F. Vignéras, *Extensions between irreducible representations of a p-adic* GL(n), Olga Taussky-Todd: in memoriam. Pacific J. Math. (1997).

[Z] A.V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. II*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 13, no. 2, 165–210 (1980).

Universität Leipzig
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
Mathematisches Institut
Augustusplatz 10/11
D-04109 Leipzig
Germany